**Θέμα:** Συμμετοχή σε δημόσια διαβουλευση για την ασφάλεια των παιχνιδιών ΕΩΣ 28 ΜΑΡΤΙΟΥ 2022

Αξιότιμοι-ες κύριοι-ες,

Στο πλαίσιο συγκέντρωσης πληροφοριών και απόψεων σχετικά με το πώς θα μπορούσε να βελτιωθεί η οδηγία 2009/48/ΕΚ για την ασφάλεια των παιχνιδιών, με στόχο την καλύτερη προστασία των παιδιών και την ενίσχυση της εποπτείας της αγοράς, σας γνωρίζουμε ότι βρίσκεται σε εξέλιξη δημόσια διαβούλευση στην οποία μπορούν να συμμετάσχουν όλοι οι ενδιαφερόμενοι (επιχειρήσεις, σύνδεσμοι, διοίκηση, πολίτες κ.α.) προκειμένου να συμβάλουν με τις θέσεις τους στην επίτευξη των ανωτέρω στόχων.

Σημειώνεται ότι η προηγούμενη αξιολόγηση της Ευρωπαϊκής Επιτροπής για σχετικά με την οδηγία για την ασφάλεια των παιχνιδιών (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/1852-Evaluation-of-the-Toy-Safety-Directive el) εντόπισε αρκετές ελλείψεις που θα μπορούσαν να θέσουν σε κίνδυνο την υγεία και την ασφάλεια των παιδιών, ιδίως όσον αφορά τις χημικές ουσίες. Η αξιολόγηση επισήμανε επίσης ότι η εποπτεία της αγοράς παραμένει ιδιαίτερα δύσκολη, ιδίως όσον αφορά τις διαδικτυακές πωλήσεις και διαπίστωσε ότι δεν ήταν πάντοτε ικανοποιητική η μεταφορά των τροποποιήσεων της οδηγίας για την ασφάλεια των παιχνιδιών στο εθνικό δίκαιο των κρατών μελών.

#### Have your say

ec.europa.eu

Παράλληλα, η στρατηγική για τη βιωσιμότητα των χημικών προϊόντων (https://ec.europa.eu/knowledge4policy/publication/communication-com2020667-chemicals-strategy-sustainability-towards-toxic-free\_en), η οποία περιγράφει τη στρατηγική της Ευρωπαϊκής Επιτροπής για την καλύτερη προστασία του κοινού και του περιβάλλοντος από επικίνδυνες χημικές ουσίες και την ενθάρρυνση της καινοτομίας για την ανάπτυξη ασφαλών και βιώσιμων εναλλακτικών λύσεων στο πλαίσιο της Πράσινης Συμφωνίας της ΕΕ (https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal\_el), έχει δεσμευτεί να διασφαλίσει ότι η οδηγία για την ασφάλεια των παιχνιδιών θα παρέχει καλύτερη προστασία από τις πλέον επιβλαβείς χημικές ουσίες.

Communication COM/2020/667: Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability Towards a Toxic-Free Environment | Knowledge for policy

00	$\Delta I$	irc	ากร	ı.eu
CC.		aιν	JUC	ı.cu

The EU's

Η διαβούλευση θα είναι ανοιχτή έως **28 Μαρτίου 2022** (μεσάνυχτα ώρα Βρυξελλών) και σας καλούμε να συμμετάσχετε σε αυτήν, ενημερώνοντας παράλληλα την Υπηρεσία μας για τις απόψεις που τυχόν υποβάλετε.

Το σχετικό ερωτηματολόγιο είναι προσβάσιμο μέσω του συνδέσμου <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/13164-Protecting-children-from-unsafe-toys-and-strengthening-the-Single-Market-revision-of-the-Toy-Safety-Directive/public-consultation\_el, κατόπιν εγγραφής, και επισυνάπτεται για τη διευκόλυνσή σας.

Παραμένουμε στη διάθεσή σας για κάθε διευκρίνιση Δρ Ζαχαρένια Ρωμαίου Προϊσταμένη Τμήματος Δομικών, Χημικών και Λοιπών Βιομηχανικών Προϊόντων

Υπουργείο Ανάπτυξης και Επενδύσεων Γενική Γραμματεία Βιομηχανίας Γενική Δ/νση Βιομηχανικών Υποδομών και Επιχειρηματικού Περιβάλλοντος Δ/νση Ασφάλειας και Συμμόρφωσης Βιομηχανικών Προϊόντων Κάνιγγος 20, ΤΚ 101 81 Αθήνα Τηλ. 2103893983

Email: zromaiou@ggb.gr

### Public consultation for the targeted revision of the Toy Safety Directive

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

Slovenian

Spanish

## Introduction About you \*Language of my contribution Bulgarian Croatian Czech Danish Dutch English Estonian Finnish French German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak

Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other
*First name
*Surname
*Email (this won't be published)
*Scope
International
Local
National
Regional
*Level of governance
Local Authority
Local Agency
*Level of governance
Parliament

Authority			
Agency			
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 em	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49			
Medium (50 to 2			
Large (250 or m	,		
g- (	,		
Transparency registe	r number		
255 character(s) maximum			
Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-makin		er. It's a voluntary database to	or organisations seeking to
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of c	origin, or that of your organis	ation.	
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samo	oa <sup>©</sup> Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guir	nea <sup>©</sup> Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles

Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	a <sup>©</sup> Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern	Moldova	South Georgia
	and Antarctic		and the South
	Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste

0	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and		Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon	0	Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada	0	India		Norway	0	Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands	0	Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
	Central African		Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
	China	0	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton	0	Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines	0	United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia	0	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
	Comoros	0	Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
	Congo	0	Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	0	Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
	Costa Rica	0	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia	0	Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
							Futuna
0	Curaçao		Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
	Cyprus		Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

#### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

### Anonymous

The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

#### Public

Your name, the type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published.

#### \*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

#### Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the <u>personal data protection provisions</u>

# Part I - Strengthening the protection of children against possible risks in toys

#### 1. Strengthen the protection of children against chemical risks

The <u>evaluation</u> of the Toy Safety Directive identified several shortcomings – in particular concerning chemical risks – that could compromise the health and safety of children. In the EU, Regulation No 1272 /2008 on Classification, Labelling and Packaging (CLP) determines whether a substance or mixture displays properties that lead to it being classified as hazardous. The Toy Safety Directive generally bans in toys substances that are classified as carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic for reproduction (CMRs) under the CLP Regulation, with only a few derogations. Substances under other hazardous classifications in the CLP Regulation are not currently covered by the general bans in the Directive. The chemicals strategy for sustainability commits to better protecting from the most harmful chemicals in toys and to extend the general bans to other most harmful chemicals, i.e. chemicals that affect the endocrine system, chemicals affecting the immune, neurological or respiratory systems, and chemicals toxic to a specific organ. The Directive already preventively bans carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic-for-reproduction substances based on their hazardous properties and generic exposure and risk considerations. Limited exemptions to the general bans are allowed.

Chemicals with adverse effects on the environment, including endocrine disruptors and chemicals that are persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic, are regulated under REACH.

#### Question 1.

Do you agree or disagree that the EU rules on toy safety should set stricter requirements for chemicals in toys?

	Strongly agree	•
0	Agree	

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

#### Question 2.

In your opinion, should the Toy Safety Directive address the following substances, and in what manner?

	They should be preventively banned from toys (generic risk assessment)	They should be banned only after they have been scientifically assessed and found unsafe for use in toys (specific risk assessment)	They should not be regulated in the Toy Safety Directive	I don't know /No opinion
Substances that are known or presumed to be disruptive to the endocrine system (endocrine disruptors for human health)	©	©	©	•
Substances that are suspected to be disruptive to the endocrine system (endocrine disruptors for human health)	•	•	©	•
Substances that affect the immune system	0	0	0	0
Substances that affect the neurological system	0	0	0	0
Substances that affect the respiratory system	0	•	0	0
Substances toxic to a specific organ	0	•	0	0
Substances that can cause an allergic response following skin contact (skin sensitizers)	0	•	0	•

Other substances	- please	clarify:
------------------	----------	----------

#### Question 3.

Currently, the Directive allows for a number of derogations to the general bans on substances. In line with the chemicals strategy for sustainability, other most harmful chemicals – i.e. those that affect the endocrine system, those that affect the

immune, neurological or respiratory systems and those toxic to a specific organ – could also be subject to general bans.

Do you agree or disagree that the Toy Safety Directive should, by way of exception, allow the presence of chemicals which are subject to current and new general bans?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion
When these chemicals are contained in equal or smaller concentrations than required to be classified as hazardous under the relevant EU legislation (CLP Regulation)	©	©	0	•	0	0
When these chemicals are inaccessible to children in any form, including inhalation	0	0	0	0	0	0
When these chemicals are found to be safe for human health (as evaluated by a scientific committee) for that particular use in toys	©	0	0	•	0	0
When these chemicals are found to be safe for human health (as evaluated by a scientific committee) for that particular use in toys and there are no alternatives	©	©	0	•	•	0
When the use of these chemicals in toys is proven to be essential for society	0	0	0	0	0	0
There should be no derogations to the general bans	0	0	0	0	0	0

Oth	ner – please specify:			

#### Question 4.

How do you assess the likely overall impact of introducing general bans for the most harmful chemicals as described in the previous question, with some limited derogations if necessary?

Impact on:

	5	4	3	2	1	No opinion
Costs for companies to adapt to new chemical requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for businesses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for public authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protection of children	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer demand	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Choice of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incentives for companies to place innovative products on the market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free movement of toys within the EU single market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of larger firms	0	0	0	0	0	0

Other – p	lease specify:		
Comment	ts: (if appropriate)		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

#### Question 6.

The Directive currently only empowers the Commission to set limit values for additional chemicals in toys for children under 36 months and in toys intended to be put in the mouth.

### To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly disagree	
The toy safety rules should continue to allow different requirements to be set for chemicals in toys for younger children (under 3 years) compared to older children	•	0	©	©	©	0

	The toy safety rules should continue to allow different requirements to be set for chemicals in toys intended to be put in the mouth	0	©	0	•	©	0					
	The toy safety rules should allow new requirements to be set for chemicals in any toy should new scientific knowledge emerge	•	0	•	•	•	•					
Other – please specify:												
Question 7.  The evaluation concluded that the current limits for (the carcinogenic) nitrosamines and their precursors, the nitrosatable substances, appear to be too high.  Do you agree or disagree that limit values in the Directive for nitrosamines and nitrosatable substances should be lowered?  Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion												
Question 8.  Do you think toys should be labelled with their chemical composition?  Yes  No No On opinion  Question 8b.												
Wh	ich toys should be labelled ssible)  All toys											
l	Toys which are chemical modelling clay, slimes, so				-	·						

Toys containing specific allergenic fragrances, as is the case in the current Toy Safety Directive										
Toys intended for children under 36 months (as these children are more vulnerable or more likely to put toys in their mouth)										
Other – please specify										
If you chose "Other - please specify", please specify here:										
Question 8c.										
Which chemical substances in toys should be included on the label? (multiple										
replies possible)										
All chemical substances										
Allergenic fragrances										
Substances subject to general bans which may still be present in toys due to derogations										
Other – please specify										
If you chose "Other - please specify", please specify here:										

#### Question 9.

How do you assess the likely overall impacts of requiring the labelling of chemical substances in toys?

#### Impact on:

Scale from 5 (very positive), through 3 (neutral) to 1 (very negative)

	5	4	3	2	1	No opinion
Costs for companies to adapt to new chemical requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for public authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protection of children	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer demand	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Choice of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0

Incentives for companies to place innovative products on the market	0	0	0	©	0	0
Free movement of toys within the EU single market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness for small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)	0	0	0	©	0	0
Competitiveness of larger firms	0	0	0	0	0	0

Oth	er – please specify:
Qu	estion 10.
	mments: (if appropriate)

#### 2. Adapting the Directive to other risks

Digital technologies in toys may pose new risks for children, for example in terms of protection of data, privacy or risks linked to cybersecurity. The Directive is currently focused on the physical health and safety of children. Other pieces of horizontal EU legislation addressing aspects like cybersecurity and the protection of data or privacy in a more general manner (such as the Radio Equipment Directive, the General Data Protection Regulation or the proposed regulatory framework for artificial intelligence) also apply to toys.

#### Question 11.

Do you agree or disagree that the Toy Safety rules should address the following, in addition to the EU legislation on these aspects referred to above? (multiple replies possible)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly disagree	
Privacy breaches (for example, in relation to information or data on the child being shared)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cybersecurity risks	0	0	0	0	0	0
Psychological harm	0	0	0	0	0	0

Other - please specify:

Question 12.
What would be your preferred option to ensure that children are protected from new
risks posed by the use of digital technologies?
No action is needed
Toys should comply with specific requirements for cybersecurity and privacy,
additional to those for other connected consumer products
Toys should comply with general requirements for cybersecurity and privacy
for connected consumer products
Toy safety rules should protect children from risks in toys not only for their
physical health, but also for their mental health or cognitive development
Other – please clarify:

#### Question 13.

How do you assess the likely overall impacts of your preferred option for adapting the Directive to risks posed by the use of digital technologies?

Impact on:

	5	4	3	2	1	No opinion
Costs for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for public authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protection of children	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer demand	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Choice of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incentives for companies to place innovative products on the market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free movement of toys within the EU single market	0	0	0	0	0	0

Competitiveness of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of larger firms	0	0	0	0	0	0

Other -	– please speci	ify:			

#### Part II - Single market

The evaluation of the Directive found that many non-compliant toys are sold in the EU and that it is difficult to enforce the Directive, in particular for online sales. Enforcing the Toy Safety Directive in online sales is challenging: for instance because it is more difficult to reach online providers who place non-compliant products on the market, or to obtain the necessary documentation to assess the compliance of the toy with the Directive's requirements.

# **Question 14.**To what extent do the following issues hamper the application of the Directive?

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To a moderate extent	To a small extent	Not at all
The chemical requirements for toys are set out in different pieces of legislation	0	0	0	0	0
The Directive and its regular adaptations to new scientific knowledge need to be transposed into national law	0	0	0	0	0
Testing and safety/conformity assessment is done by the manufacturer itself without the intervention of a qualified conformity assessment body	0	0	0	0	0
Documentation on the conformity of the product is provided only at the request of the authorities	0	0	0	0	0
There are no specific requirements for online sales	0	0	0	0	0

Ot	her – please specify:		

1. Conformity assessment of toys

#### Question 15.

The Toy Safety Directive requires the manufacturer to demonstrate that the toy they produce conforms to the safety requirements applicable. Currently, third-party verification by a notified body is required only in very limited cases (i.e. when harmonised standards do not exist or are not applied, or when the manufacturer considers that the nature, design, construction or purpose of the toy necessitates third-party verification). A notified body is a test laboratory of recognised quality which has been designated by a Member State (where the test laboratory is

ocated) for this purpose. The notified body then examines a prototype of the toy
and delivers a certificate (EU-type certificate) on the conformity of the prototype
with the requirements of the Directive.
Do you think the toy safety rules should extend the obligation of third-party
verification to more toys (EU-type examination)?
© Yes
No
No opinion
Question 15b.
To which toys should the third-party verification apply?
All toys
Toys which are chemical mixtures and may lead to intense exposure to
chemical substances (such as modelling clay, slimes, soap bubbles, finger
paints, water paints or toy pens)
Toys for children under 36 months (as these toys are subject to specific
requirements, in particular the requirement not to contain small parts)
Other – please specify:
Question 16.
How do you assess the likely overall impacts of extending the requirements to
apply third-party verification to other toys as in the previous question?

Impact on:

Scale from 5 (very positive), through 3 (neutral) to 1 (very negative) No 5 4 3 2 1 opinion

Costs for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for public authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protection of children	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance of toys with the Directive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer demand	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Choice of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incentives for companies to place innovative products on the market	0	0	0	0	©	0
Free movement of toys within the EU single market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of larger firms	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### 2. Obtaining compliance information and performing checks

In market surveillance, experience has shown that checks on toys can be difficult – mainly because key documents such as the EU declaration of conformity are difficult to obtain, are incorrect or of questionable quality, and/or are drafted only after a request from authorities. An option would be to require that products be accompanied by a digital product passport – including information on compliance of the product – which would ensure that information is immediately available to market surveillance and customs authorities.

**Question 17.**Should the following information be available through digital tools?

	Should be available in paper /on the product  be available on the product /paper and more details can be available digitally		Should be available only digitally	No opinion
Name and address of the manufacturer	0	•	0	0
EU declaration of conformity	0	0	0	0
EU-type examination certificates, where they exist	0	•	0	0
Instructions for use	0	0	0	0
Safety information	0	0	0	0

Information on allergenic fragrances or any other chemical substance which may be subject to labelling obligations	•	•	•	0
--	---	---	---	---

#### Question 18.

If any o	of this	informat	ion is	provided	through	digital	tools,	what	digital	solution	would
you pre	efer to	use to a	access	the infor	mation o	nline?	)				

QR code
Other barcodes
Contactless technologies such as NFC or RFID tags
Website address
Doesn't matter as long as it with works with my preferred device
Other (please specify below)
I don't know/cannot answer

Other –	please	specify:
---------	--------	----------

#### Question 19.

How do you assess the likely overall impacts of requiring the provision of certain information through digital means?

#### Impact on:

Scale from 5 (very positive), through 3 (neutral) to 1 (very negative)

	5	4	3	2	1	No opinion
Costs for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for companies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative burden for public authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protection of children	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance of toys with the Directive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Efficiency of market surveillance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer demand	0	0	0	0	0	0
Price of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0

Choice of toys	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incentives for companies to place innovative products on the market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free movement of toys within the EU single market	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Competitiveness of larger firms	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Question 20.

While a Regulation is directly applicable in every Member State, a Directive has to he transposed by Member States into national legislation. The evaluation

be transposed by Member States into national legislation. The evaluation
concluded that unequal transposition - as regards both substance and time - of the
numerous amendments to the Directive into national law are a further obstacle to
the single market.
Do you agree or disagree that the Toy Safety Directive should be converted
into a Regulation?
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
No opinion
Other – please specify:

#### Question 21.

What would be your preferred measures to be in---cluded in the Directive to improve compliance and enforcement? (multiple replies possible)

N I 🕳		
סעו	action	needed

- The toy should have digital information on compliance that should also be available at customs (digital product passport)
- More toys should be tested by a third party before they can be marketed in the EU
- The Directive should be converted into a Regulation

Other – please specify:					
Part III - Additional feedback					
Part III - Additional leedback					
Question 22.					
What other aspects, if any, do you think could be improved if the Toy Safety					
Directive were revised?					

#### Question 23.

If you would like to share a document in connection with the possible revision of the Toy Safety Directive, please upload it below:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed